## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

Nicole Miller, No.

Plaintiff,

**COMPLAINT** 

v.

Columbus Compaction, LLC, an Ohio Limited Liability Company, Klimko Investments, LLC, an Ohio Limited Liability Company, and Daniel Klimkowicz,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, Nicole Miller ("Plaintiff"), sues the Defendants, Columbus Compaction, LLC, Klimko Investments, LLC, and Daniel Klimkowicz (collectively "Defendants") and alleges as follows:

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This is an action for unpaid wages, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and interest under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.; Ohio Revised Code Ann. ("ORC") § 4111.01; and ORC § 4113.15 for Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff all earned minimum wages, overtime wages, and all earned wages.
- 2. The FLSA was enacted "to protect all covered workers from substandard wages and oppressive working hours." <u>Barrentine v. Ark Best Freight Sys. Inc.</u>, 450 U.S. 728, 739 (1981). Under the FLSA, employers must pay all non-exempt employees a minimum wage of pay for all time spent working during their regular 40-hour workweeks. <u>See</u> 29 U.S.C. § 206(a). Under the FLSA, employers must pay all non-exempt employees one and one-half their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek. See 29 U.S.C. § 207.

- 3. ORC § 4111.01 establishes the law regarding minimum wage within the State of Ohio.
- 4. ORC § 4113.15 establishes the law regarding the payment of wages within the State of Ohio.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.* because this civil action arises under the Constitution and law of the United States. This Court also has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because the state law claims asserted herein are so related to claims in this action over which this Court has subject matter jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.
- 6. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(ii) because acts giving rise to the claims of Plaintiff occurred within the Southern District of Ohio, and Defendants regularly conduct business in and have engaged in the wrongful conduct alleged herein and, thus, are subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district.

## **PARTIES**

- 7. At all material times, Plaintiff is an individual residing in Pickaway County, Ohio, and is a former employee of Defendants.
- 8. At all material times, Defendant Columbus Compaction, LLC. is a limited liability company licensed to transact business in the State of Ohio. At all material times, Defendant Columbus Compaction, LLC does business, has offices, and/or maintains agents for the transaction of its customary business in Franklin County, Ohio.

- 9. At all material times, Defendant Columbus Compaction, LLC does business as "Columbus Compaction."
- 10. At all relevant times, Defendant Columbus Compaction, LLC was an employer under the FLSA. The FLSA defines "employer" as any person who acts directly or indirectly in the interest of an employer in relation to an employee. At all relevant times, Defendant Columbus Compaction, LLC had the authority to hire and fire employees, supervised and controlled work schedules or the conditions of employment, determined the rate and method of payment, and maintained employment records in connection with Plaintiff's employment with Defendants. As a person who acted in the interest of Defendants in relation to the company's employees, Defendant Columbus Compaction, LLC is subject to liability under the FLSA.
- 11. At all material times, Defendant Klimko Investments, LLC. is a limited liability company licensed to transact business in the State of Ohio. At all material times, Defendant Klimko Investments, LLC does business, has offices, and/or maintains agents for the transaction of its customary business in Delaware County, Ohio.
- 12. At all material times, Defendant Columbus Compaction, LLC does business as "Cleveland Compaction."
- 13. At all relevant times, Defendant Klimko Investments, LLC was an employer under the FLSA. The FLSA defines "employer" as any person who acts directly or indirectly in the interest of an employer in relation to an employee. At all relevant times, Defendant Klimko Investments, LLC had the authority to hire and fire employees, supervised and controlled work schedules or the conditions of employment, determined the rate and method of payment, and maintained employment records in connection with Plaintiff's employment with Defendants. As

a person who acted in the interest of Defendants in relation to the company's employees, Defendant Klimko Investments, LLC is subject to liability under the FLSA.

- 14. Defendant Daniel Klimkowicz is an owner of Columbus Compaction, LLC and Klimko Investments, LLC and was at all relevant times Plaintiff's employer as defined by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 15. Under the FLSA, Defendant Daniel Klimkowicz is an employer. The FLSA defines "employer" as any person who acts directly or indirectly in the interest of an employer in relation to an employee. Defendant Daniel Klimkowicz is an owner of Columbus Compactions, LLC and Klimko Investments, LLC. At all relevant times, Defendant Daniel Klimkowicz had the authority to hire and fire employees, supervised and controlled work schedules or the conditions of employment, determined the rate and method of payment, and maintained employment records in connection with Plaintiff's employment with Defendants. As a person who acted in the interest of Defendants in relation to the company's employees, Defendant Daniel Klimkowicz is subject to individual liability under the FLSA.
- 16. At all material times, Defendants Columbus Compaction, LLC, Klimko Investments, LLC, and Daniel Klimkowicz are Plaintiff's "employer" as defined by Ohio Revised Code § 4111, et seq.
- 17. At all material times, Defendants Columbus Compaction, LLC, Klimko Investments, LLC, and Daniel Klimkowicz are Plaintiff's "employer" as defined by Ohio Revised Code § 4113, et seq.
- 18. Defendants individually and/or through an enterprise or agent, directed and exercised control over Plaintiff's work and wages at all relevant times.

- 19. Plaintiff, in her work for Defendants, was employed by an enterprise engaged in commerce that had annual gross sales of at least \$500,000.
- 20. At all relevant times, Plaintiff, in her work for Defendants, was engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce.
- 21. At all relevant times, Plaintiff, in her work for Defendants, was engaged in interstate commerce.
- 22. Plaintiff, in her work for Defendants, regularly handled goods produced or transported in interstate commerce.

## NATURE OF THE CLAIM

- 23. Defendants own and/or operate as Columbus compaction, an enterprise located in Franklin County, Ohio.
- 24. Defendants own and/or operate as Cleveland compaction, an enterprise located in Delaware County, Ohio.
- 25. Plaintiff was hired by Defendants and worked for Defendants as a customer service representative from approximately January 2020 through approximately June 11, 2020.
- 26. Defendants, in their sole discretion, agreed to compensate Plaintiff at a rate of \$22.00 per hour.
  - 27. Plaintiff typically worked 50-60 hours per week for Defendants.
- 28. Defendants capped Plaintiff's pay at 8 hours per shift, regardless of the number of hours she worked for Defendants.
- 29. Defendants refused to compensate Plaintiff any wages whatsoever for hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek on the basis that she was hired through a temporary staffing agency.

- 30. As a result of Defendants' policy, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff at a rate of one and one-half times her regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek, in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207(a).
- 31. As a result of Defendants' policy, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff at a rate of one and one-half times her regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek, in violation of the ORC § 4111.03.
- 32. As a result of Defendants' policy, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff wages owed, in violation of ORC § 4113.
- 33. Plaintiff left her employment with Defendants on approximately June 11, 2020. In her final pay period, Plaintiff worked approximately 12 hours for Defendants.
- 34. Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff any wages whatsoever for her final pay period of work for Defendants.
- 35. As a result of compensating Plaintiff no wages whatsoever for her final pay period of work for Defendants, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff at least the applicable minimum wage for all hours worked, in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C 206(a).
- 36. As a result of compensating Plaintiff no wages whatsoever for her final pay period of work for Defendants, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff at least the applicable minimum wage for all hours worked, in violation of ORC § 4111.
- 37. As a result of compensating Plaintiff no wages whatsoever for her final pay period of work for Defendants, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff all wages owed for all
- 38. As a result of Defendants' willful failure to compensate Plaintiff at least the minimum wage for all hours worked, Defendants have violated 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).

- 39. As a result of Defendants' willful failure to compensate Plaintiff the applicable overtime rate for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek, Defendants have violated 29 U.S.C. § 207(a).
- 40. As a result of Defendants' willful failure to compensate Plaintiff at least the applicable minimum wage for all hours worked, Defendants have violated ORC § 4111.
- 41. As a result of Defendants' willful failure to compensate Plaintiff at least the applicable overtime wages for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek, Defendants have violated ORC § 4111.
- 42. As a result of Defendants' willful failure to compensate Plaintiff all wages owed for all hours worked, Defendants have violated ORC § 4113.
- 43. Defendants have and continue to violate the FLSA by not paying Plaintiff the full applicable minimum wage for all hours worked during her regular workweeks.
- 44. Defendants have and continue to violate the FLSA by not paying Plaintiff at a rate of one and one-half times her regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek.
- 45. Defendants have and continue to violate ORC § 4111 by not paying Plaintiff the full applicable minimum wage for all hours worked during her regular workweeks.
- 46. Defendants have and continue to violate ORC § 4111 by not paying Plaintiff one and one-half times her regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek.
- 47. Defendants have and continue to violate the ORC § 4113 by not paying Plaintiff all wages owed for all hours worked during her regular workweeks.
  - 48. Plaintiff is a covered employee within the meaning of the FLSA.

- 49. Plaintiff is a covered employee within the meaning of ORC § 4111.
- 50. Plaintiff is a covered employee within the meaning of ORC § 4113.
- 51. Plaintiff was a non-exempt employee.
- 52. Defendants refused and/or failed to properly disclose to or apprise Plaintiff of her rights under the FLSA.
- 53. Defendants individually and/or through an enterprise or agent, directed and exercised control over Plaintiff's work and wages at all relevant times.
- 54. Due to Defendants' illegal wage practices, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants compensation for unpaid wages, an additional amount equal amount as liquidated damages, interest, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs of this action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 55. Due to Defendants' illegal wage practices, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants compensation for unpaid wages, an additional amount equal to twice the unpaid wages as liquidated damages, interest, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs of this action under §34(a) of Article II of the Ohio Constitution.
- 56. Due to Defendants' illegal wage practices, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants compensation for unpaid wages, liquidated damages, interest, and attorneys' fees under ORC § 4113.

# COUNT ONE: FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM WAGE

- 57. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 58. Defendants willfully failed or refused to pay Plaintiff at least the full applicable minimum wage for all hours worked during her final pay period of work for Defendants.

- 59. As a result, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff at least the full applicable minimum wage for all hours worked.
- 60. Defendants' practice of willfully failing or refusing to pay Plaintiff at the required minimum wage rate violates the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).
- 61. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to compensation for the full applicable minimum wage at an hourly rate, to be proven at trial, plus an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, together with interest, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Nicole Miller, individually, respectfully request that the Court grant relief in Plaintiff's favor, and against Defendants for compensation for unpaid minimum wages, plus an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, prejudgment and post-judgment interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements of this action, and any additional relief this Court deems just and proper.

## COUNT TWO: FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME

- 62. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 63. Defendants willfully failed or refused to pay Plaintiff one and one-half times her regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek throughout the duration of her employment.
- 64. As a result, Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff at least the applicable overtime wage for all hours worked.
- 65. Defendants' practice of willfully failing or refusing to pay Plaintiff at the required overtime wage rate violates the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207(a).

66. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to compensation for the unpaid overtime wages at an hourly rate, to be proven at trial, plus an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, together with interest, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Nicole Miller, individually, respectfully request that the Court grant relief in Plaintiff's favor, and against Defendants for compensation for unpaid overtime wages, plus an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, prejudgment and post-judgment interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements of this action, and any additional relief this Court deems just and proper.

## COUNT THREE: OHIO REVISED CODE § 4111.01 FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM WAGE

- 67. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 68. Defendants willfully failed or refused to Plaintiff any wages whatsoever for all hours worked in her final pay period of employment with Defendants.
- 69. Defendants' practice of willfully failing or refusing to pay Plaintiff at the required minimum wage rate violates ORC § 4111.01.
- 70. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to compensation for the full applicable minimum wage at an hourly rate, to be proven at trial, plus an additional amount as liquidated damages, together with interest, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Nicole Miller, individually, respectfully requests that the Court grant relief in Plaintiff's favor, and against Defendants for compensation for unpaid minimum wages, plus an additional amount equal to twice the unpaid minimum wages, prejudgment and post-judgment interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements of this action, and any additional relief this Court deems just and proper.

# COUNT FOUR: OHIO REVISED CODE § 4113 FAILURE TO PAY WAGES OWED

- 71. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 72. Defendants willfully failed or refused to Plaintiff all wages owed during her final pay period of employment with Defendants by failing to compensate her any wages whatsoever for such hours worked.
- 73. Defendants willfully failed or refused to pay Plaintiff all wages owed throughout her employment by failing to compensate Plaintiff at least one and one-half times her regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek.
- 74. Defendants' practice of willfully failing to pay Plaintiffs wages for labor performed violates ORC § 4113.
- 75. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to compensation for the full applicable unpaid wages at an hourly rate, to be proven at trial, plus an amount as liquidated damages, together with interest, costs, and reasonable attorney fees.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Nicole Miller, individually, respectfully requests that the Court grant relief in Plaintiff's favor, and against Defendants for compensation for unpaid wages, liquidated damages, prejudgment and post-judgment interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements of this action, and any additional relief this Court deems just and proper.

# RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 28th day of October, 2020.

## BENDAU & BENDAU PLLC

By: /s/ Clifford P. Bendau, II

Clifford P. Bendau, II (OH No. 0089601)

BENDAU & BENDAÙ PLLC

P.O. Box 97066

Phoenix, Arizona 85060

Telephone AZ: (480) 382-5176

Email: cliffordbendau@bendaulaw.com

## THE LAW OFFICES OF SIMON & SIMON

By: /s/ James L. Simon

James L. Simon (OH No. 0089483)

6000 Freedom Square Dr. Independence, OH 44131 Telephone: (216) 525-8890

Facsimile: (216) 642-5814

Email: jameslsimonlaw@yahoo.com